


Intramolecular C(sp³)–N Coupling by Oxidation of Benzylic C,N-Dianions**

Jenna L. Jeffrey, Emily S. Bartlett, and Richmond Sarpong*

The ability to effect direct, carbon–nitrogen bond formation between an N–H group and a C(sp³)–H group by the formal loss of H₂ would significantly enhance the existing toolbox of synthetic methods to build complex nitrogen-containing molecules. Such a transformation, particularly involving *N,N*-dialkyl secondary amines, would complement processes such as reductive amination and would obviate the requirement of a preoxidized coupling partner (e.g., a carbonyl group). Although the Hofmann–Löffler–Freitag (HLF) reaction^[1] and its associated modern variants^[2] offer one such alternative, preoxidation of the nitrogen partner is usually required and success remains limited to the formation of specific ring sizes. For example, although five-membered rings are readily formed using the HLF reaction, six- and seven-membered rings are more difficult to obtain. Thus, a general, one-pot, C(sp³)–N coupling reaction would be highly desirable.^[3–5]

Despite notable recent advances in oxidative C–C bond formation between two C(sp³)–H groups,^[6,7] the corresponding C(sp³)–N coupling remains underdeveloped. In 2008, during the total synthesis of the Lycopodium alkaloid lyconadin A (**3**; Scheme 1),^[8] we demonstrated the efficient, one-pot, conversion of amine **1** into caged pentacycle **2**. Subsequently, we showed that the oxidation of the C,N-dianion with iodine likely occurs by a single-electron transfer.^[8b] This net oxidative C(sp³)–N coupling represented a first step toward the more ambitious goal of a general C(sp³)–N coupling. The cyclization of amine **1** to caged pentacycle **2** required the deprotonation of a moderately acidic, pseudo benzylic methylene group (see **1**; p*K*_a = ca. 34 in THF)^[9] in a rigid, caged system.

As such, several challenges for this one-pot coupling method remained, including whether 1) conformationally



Scheme 1. One-pot C(sp³)–N bond formation.

unbiased substrates could participate in this reaction, 2) significantly less-acidic C–H bonds (e.g., a benzylic methyl group; p*K*_a of ca. 41)^[10,11] could be employed, and 3) if less-nucleophilic Li amides, for example Li *N*-acyl amides, could serve competently in the reaction. Herein, we report the successful realization of these goals.


To begin addressing the challenge of the direct C(sp³)–N coupling between benzylic carbon groups and amines, we selected tolylamine **4** (Table 1) for our studies.^[12] In contrast to **1**, tolylamine **4** lacks any conformational bias that could enforce a complex-induced proximity effect^[13] on the C–N bond formation subsequent to the generation of the C,N-dianion. Not surprisingly, under the reaction conditions that led to the successful conversion of **1** into **2**, the starting material (**4**) was recovered unchanged. Starting material was also recovered from the reaction carried out at an increased reaction temperature of 0 °C (Table 1, entry 1). We hypothesized that a Lewis acid additive could act as a template to control the conformation of the dianion generated from **4** (by analogy to the probable proximity effect for the dianion

[*] J. L. Jeffrey, E. S. Bartlett, Prof. R. Sarpong
Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, CA 94720 (USA)
E-mail: rsarpong@berkeley.edu

[**] We thank Dr. Vishnumaya Bisai (IISER Bhopal), Dr. André Isaacs (College of the Holy Cross (USA)), and Erica D'Amato (visiting undergraduate Amgen Scholar, 2011) for assistance with the preparation of starting materials for an initial study. This work was supported by the NIH-USA (NIGMS RO1 GM86374) and a Research Scholar Grant from the American Cancer Society-USA (RSG-09-017-01-CDD to R.S.). R.S. is a Camille Dreyfus Teacher Scholar. J.L.J. is grateful to the NSF for a graduate fellowship. E.S.B. was supported by a Chemical Biology Interface Training Grant from the NIH (T32 GM066698). We are grateful to Eli Lilly, Abbott, and Roche for unrestricted financial support.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201209591>.


Table 1: Optimization studies of the C–N bond formation.^[a]

		
Entry	Additive	Result ^[b]
1	none	4 recovered
2	SnCl ₂	4 recovered
3	CuCl ₂	5 (trace) + 4 (major)
4	CuCl	5 (trace) + 4 (major)
5	B(OMe) ₃	5 (9%) + 4 (16%) + unidentified by-products
6	ZnCl ₂	5 (54%) + 4 (8%)

[a] Reaction conditions: *n*BuLi (2.2 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 2 h, then additive (1.1 equiv) 1 h, then I₂ (1.3 equiv), 0 °C → 23 °C, 2 h. All reactions were conducted at a concentration of 0.3 M of **4** in THF. [b] Yield determined by ¹H NMR analysis using hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA) as an internal standard. THF = tetrahydrofuran.

generated from **1**)^[14] and furthermore, could facilitate deprotonation of the benzylic methyl group by breaking down organolithium aggregates.^[15] To that end, we investigated several additives. Stannous chloride, cupric chloride, and cuprous chloride were ineffective and led mostly to the recovery of the starting material (entries 2–4). However, trimethyl borate (see entry 5), which we investigated as an additive on the basis of the successful oxidative C–N bond-forming reaction reported by Shenvi and co-workers,^[16] led mostly to decomposition but gave an encouraging 9 % yield of the product, along with the starting amine **4** (16 % recovery). Pleasingly, ZnCl₂ proved to be effective as an additive and led to the formation of isoindoline **5** in 54 % yield (as determined by ¹H NMR analysis)^[17] along with some recovered **4** (8 %). A slight improvement in the yield of **5** (59 % yield; 68 % yield based on remaining starting material) could be obtained by conducting the reaction at a lower concentration of 0.075 M in THF. Although the balance of the material was mostly accounted for by nonspecific decomposition, trace amounts of imine and iodinated by-products were formed as well. Generally, the yield of isolated **5** was low because of the instability of the isoindoline moiety.

As illustrated in Scheme 2 (only products are shown), the optimal conditions identified in Table 1, entry 6, with ZnCl₂ as the additive, are applicable to a range of tolyl substrates and give the corresponding isoindoline products. In the case of **6**, a tertiary amine group, which could lead to competing lithiation elsewhere, is tolerated. In addition, lithiation of the pendant phenyl group present in **7** does not appear to significantly compete with the desired reaction. Steric bulk proximal to the secondary amine group does not adversely affect the efficiency of the reaction (compare yields of **5–7** and **8–11**). Additionally, substituents on the benzenoid portion of the substrates are tolerated, including an alkyl group (see **12**), which could undergo lateral deprotonation, and a methoxy group (see **13**), which could promote *ortho* lithiation. Under the current conditions, C(sp³)–N coupling involving a methylene group proceeds in reduced yield (see **15**). This result likely points to the associated kinetic challenge in deprotonating methylene




Scheme 2. The prepared isoindolines. Reaction conditions: Substrate in THF (0.075 M), *n*BuLi (2.2 equiv), 0 °C, 2 h, then ZnCl₂ (1.1 equiv), 1 h, then, I₂ (1.3 equiv); warm to 23 °C over 2 h. Because of the inherent instability of the isoindoline products, the yields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis using HMTA as an internal standard. [a] The amine precursor to isoindoline **8** was found to be volatile, which may account for the reduced yield of **8**. THF = tetrahydrofuran.

groups relative to methyl groups by using alkylolithium bases.^[18–20] *N*-Aryl substrates yield only small amounts of the corresponding isoindolines (see **16**), thus indicating a deleterious effect of *N*-aryl substitution on the reaction.


Overall, the formation of isoindolines by the C,N-dianion oxidation protocol proceeds in modest to good yield, which is partly attributable to the stated inherent instability of alkylated isoindolines.^[21] To our knowledge, these C(sp³)–N couplings cannot be effected using any other established methods.

The one-pot oxidative C(sp³)–N coupling illustrated in Scheme 2 spurred an investigation of the dianion formation/oxidation in a more challenging system involving amide substrates that would generate less nucleophilic lithium amides.^[22] With our established reaction conditions, *N*-acylated isoindolines **17** and **18** (Scheme 3) were formed in good yields. Unlike the alkylated isoindoline compounds (Scheme 2), these acylated variants could be purified without substantial loss of material. Furthermore, isoindolinones (**19–23a**) were also formed in modest to good yield. Notably, products such as **21** were formed without competing *ortho* lithiation of the dimethoxybenzene moiety and a methylene C–H bond could be functionalized with good fidelity (see isoindolinone **23b**).

Using our protocol, even substrates that form six- and seven-membered rings (Scheme 4), the formation of which is not possible using the HLF or Suárez reactions, participate in the oxidative C(sp³)–N coupling. For example, *N,N*-dialkyl amine **24a** yields seven-membered azacycle **25a** in 51 % yield under our standard reaction conditions, whereas amide **24b** affords **25b** in 46 % yield (as determined by NMR spectroscopy).^[23] Notably, an attempted HLF reaction with the *N*-



Scheme 3. The prepared *N*-acyl isoindolines and isoindolinones. All yields shown are of the isolated products unless otherwise stated. Reaction conditions: Substrate in THF (0.075 M), *n*BuLi (2.2 equiv), 0 °C, 2 h, then ZnCl₂ (1.1 equiv), 1 h, then, I₂ (1.3 equiv); warm to 23 °C over 2 h. [a] Yield of **23 b** was determined by ¹H NMR analysis using HMTA as an internal standard. THF = tetrahydrofuran.




Scheme 4. Oxidative C–N bond formation to form six- and seven-membered rings. Reaction conditions: a) *n*BuLi (2.2 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 2 h; then ZnCl₂ (1.1 equiv), 1 h; then I₂ (1 equiv), 0 °C→23 °C, 2 h. b) MnO₂ (excess), CH₂Cl₂, 23 °C, 12 h [a] Yield of **25 b** determined by ¹H NMR analysis using HMTA as an internal standard.

chloro derivative of **24a** or a Suárez reaction with **24b** led only to nonspecific decomposition.^[17]

In a significant development, 5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-1,7-naphthyridine (THN) derivative **27** is formed in 64 % yield from **26**.^[24] THNs have attracted considerable attention from the

synthetic and medicinal chemistry communities. They are constrained analogues of the established pharmacophore 2-(3-pyridyl)ethylamine,^[25] which has led to their investigation in combating, for example, Alzheimer's disease.^[26] As shown in Scheme 4C, under our standard reaction conditions, pyridoazepine **29** is formed in 74 % yield from the conformationally flexible substrate **28**. Additionally, methoxypicoline derivative **30** yields benzo[*f*][1,7]naphthyridine **31** subsequent to sequential oxidative C(sp³)–N coupling and manganese(IV) oxide oxidation.^[27] This overall transformation presents a novel entry to naphthyridines, which also have interesting documented biological properties.^[28]

Finally, in addition to C(sp³)–N coupling of C,N-dianions under oxidative conditions, we have observed an interesting C–C bond-forming reaction where the course of the reaction can be diverted to a different outcome (Scheme 5). Thus,



Scheme 5. A divergent C–C bond-forming reaction of C,N-dianions.

treating pivalamide **32** with 2.2 equivalents of *n*BuLi presumably yields *N,O*-dilithio intermediate **33**. A standard acidic workup affords imine **34** (following dehydration) in 86 % yield. Alternatively, oxidative workup by the addition of 1 equivalent of molecular iodine yields amide **36** in 55 % yield.^[29] Amide **36** likely forms via *N*-iodo intermediate **35** (or the corresponding *O*-iodo compound), which undergoes a loss of isobutylene and LiI. These unusual C–C bond-forming reactions offer new opportunities for the synthesis of nitrogen heterocycles and will form the basis for future studies in our laboratory.


In conclusion, we report a one-pot, C(sp³)–N coupling between the anions of benzylic carbon groups (pK_a of ca. 41) and nitrogen-based anions to yield various azacycles, including isoindolines and isoindolinones. Our optimal reaction conditions for C(sp³)–N coupling are readily applied to: 1) tolyl substrates, which are significantly less acidic than our one prior example with a picoline substrate (i.e., **1**), 2) conformationally unbiased substrates to effectively form six- and seven-membered azacycles, and 3) amide substrates that give rise to less-reactive nitrogen nucleophiles. The scope of the transformations that we can now achieve sets the stage for myriad applications, including complex molecule synthesis. Current efforts are focused on gaining insight into the role of the ZnCl₂ additive in these reactions, as well as expanding the

scope of C(sp³)-N bond formation to include substrates that possess sterically congested C-H bonds (e.g., methine groups).

Received: November 30, 2012
Published online: January 16, 2013

Keywords: carbanions · C-N coupling · dianions · nitrogen heterocycles · oxidative coupling

- [1] For a review on the Hofmann-Löffler-Freytag reaction, see: a) L. Feray, N. Kuznetsov, P. Renaud in *Radicals in Organic Synthesis*, Vol. 2 (Ed.: P. Renaud), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2001**, pp. 254–256; b) L. Stella in *Radicals in Organic Synthesis* Vol. 2 (Ed.: P. Renaud), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2001**, pp. 409–426.
- [2] For example, the Suárez modification, see: C. G. Francisco, A. J. Herrera, E. Suárez, *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 1012–1017.
- [3] For an elegant recent C(sp³)-N forming reaction involving nitrenium-like ions generated from 1-aza-2-azoniallene salts, see: D. A. Bercović, M. Brewer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 9890–9893.
- [4] Several transition-metal-catalyzed oxidative C(sp³)-N bond-forming reactions have been reported. However, in all these cases, a primary amine derivative that is attached to an electron-withdrawing group is required. For selected recent accounts, see: a) J. Du Bois, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2011**, *15*, 758–762; b) C. G. Espino, J. Du Bois in *Modern Rhodium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions* (Ed.: P. A. Evans), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2005**, pp. 379–416.
- [5] For Pd^{II}-catalyzed oxidative C(sp²)-N bond-forming reactions, see: a) T.-S. Mei, X. Wang, J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 10806–10807; for Pd^{II}-catalyzed allylic C-H amination, see: b) S. A. Reed, M. C. White, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 3316–3318. In these cases, amines attached to electron-withdrawing groups are required.
- [6] For a review, see: C. S. Yeung, V. M. Dong, *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1215–1292.
- [7] For recent examples of applications in complex molecule synthesis, see: a) P. S. Baran, B. D. Hafenstein, N. B. Ambhakar, C. A. Guerrero, J. D. Gallagher, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 8678–8693; b) C. L. Martin, L. E. Overman, J. M. Rohde, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 4894–4906; c) W. Zi, W. Xie, D. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 9126–9129; d) Z. Zuo, W. Xie, D. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 13226–13228.
- [8] a) A. Bisai, S. P. West, R. Sarpong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 7222–7223; b) S. P. West, A. Bisai, A. D. Lim, R. R. Narayan, R. Sarpong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 11187–11194.
- [9] R. R. Fraser, T. S. Mansour, S. Savard, *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 3232–3234.
- [10] F. G. Bordwell, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1988**, *21*, 456–463.
- [11] This approximate pK_a value is inferred from the reported pK_a for toluene, see: a) A. Streitwieser, M. R. Granger, F. Mares, R. A. Wolf, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, *95*, 4257–4261; b) A. Streitwieser, D. W. Boerth, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 755–759.
- [12] We anticipated that **4** would undergo lateral lithiation on the basis of the studies of Smith et al., who have previously shown that *N*-(2-methylbenzyl)-*N,N*-dimethylurea and *N*-(2-methylbenzyl) pivalamide undergo lateral lithiation to form the corresponding dilithiated species. See: K. Smith, G. A. El-Hiti, A. S. Hegazy, *Synthesis* **2010**, 1371–1380.
- [13] P. Beak, A. I. Meyers, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1986**, *19*, 356–363.
- [14] Coordinated solvents removed for clarity. For a discussion of the aggregation state of the dianion generated upon deprotonation of **1** in THF, see: J. M. Gruver, S. P. West, D. B. Collum, R. Sarpong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 13212–13213.
- [15] For the influence of additives on alkyl lithium basicity, see: For LiX a) D. Seebach, V. W. Bauer, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1984**, *67*, 1972–1988; For amine bases b) T. L. Brown, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1970**, *23*, 447–462; c) B. J. Wakefield, *The Chemistry of Organolithium Compounds*, Pergamon, Oxford, **1974**.
- [16] S. V. Pronin, M. G. Tabor, D. J. Janson, R. A. Shenvi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 2012–2015.
- [17] See the Supporting Information for details.
- [18] The kinetic acidity of toluene is known to be higher than that of ethylbenzene. For example, methyl substitution (e.g., toluene → ethylbenzene) has been documented to decrease reactivity with lithium cyclohexylamide base. For a discussion, see: A. Streitwieser, D. E. Van Sickle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1962**, *84*, 249–250.
- [19] For a pertinent discussion of the relative rates of carbanion generation from *N*-methyl versus *N*-ethyl amides, see: D. R. Hay, Z. Song, S. G. Smith, P. Beak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 8145–8153.
- [20] The kinetic difficulty in deprotonating methylene positions relative to a methyl position has been applied successfully in directed *ortho*-metalation chemistry, see: V. Snieckus, *Chem. Rev.* **1990**, *90*, 879–933.
- [21] The synthesis and purification of isoindolines is known to proceed in low yield, see: a) R. A. Barnes, J. C. Godfrey, *J. Org. Chem.* **1957**, *22*, 1038–1043; b) I. Ahmed, G. W. H. Cheeseman, B. Jacques, R. G. Wallace, *Tetrahedron* **1977**, *33*, 2255–2258; c) Y.-X. Wang, S. Mabic, N. Castagnoli, Jr., *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *6*, 143–149; d) D.-R. Hou, M.-S. Wang, M.-W. Chung, Y.-D. Hsieh, H.-H. G. Tsai, *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 9231–9239; e) T. Ohmura, A. Kijima, M. Sugimoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6070–6071; f) The mass balance in these reactions was accounted for by nonspecific decomposition products.
- [22] Amides have been demonstrated as competent bases for deprotonation of related systems, see: a) R. L. Vaulx, W. H. Puterbaugh, C. R. Hauser, *J. Org. Chem.* **1964**, *29*, 3514–3517; b) G. S. Poindexter, *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 3787–3788.
- [23] In the absence of ZnCl₂ and iodine, **24b** is converted into phenanthrylamine **i** in 49% yield.



- [24] For a recent approach to THNs, as well as a discussion of other synthetic approaches, see: A. K. Mailyan, A. S. Peregudov, P. H. Dixneuf, C. Bruneau, S. N. Osipov, *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 8518–8526.
- [25] a) Y.-X. Cheng, M. Dukat, M. Dowd, W. Fiedler, B. Martin, M. I. Damaj, R. A. Glennon, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1999**, *34*, 177–190; b) F. Claudi, G. M. Cingolani, G. Giorgioni, M. Cardellini, F. Amenta, C. Polidori, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1995**, *30*, 415–421.
- [26] M. R. Del Giudice, C. Mustazza, R. Ferretti, A. Borioni, F. Gatta, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1998**, *35*, 915–922.
- [27] Ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) has been applied in related oxidations. See: W.-R. Li, M.-N. Hsu, H.-H. Chou, S. T. Lin, Y.-S. Lin, *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 401–402.
- [28] B. Bachowska, T. Zujewska, *ARKIVOC* **2001**, 77–84.
- [29] The starting material (i.e., **32**, 6%) and 5% of imine **34** was also obtained.